

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,199	01/16/2002	Walter Haussecker	1707	7805
7	590 12/24/2002			
Striker Striker & Stenby			EXAMINER	
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			MCANULTY, TIMOTHY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	App	licant(s)			
		09/890,199	HAL	JSSECKER ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit			
•		Timothy P McAnul	ty 368:	2			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE I - External efter - If the - If NO - Failur - Any rearned	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining vill apply and will expire SI cause the application to to	er, may a reply be timely file num of thirty (30) days will be X (6) MONTHS from the ma become ABANDONED (35 t	d e considered timely. iling date of this communication. J.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1\⊠	Passansive to communication(s) filed on 00 C	October 2002					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 C</u>	is action is non-fin	ol.				
2a) □	,—			ution oc to the morits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	_	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u> .	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO Notice of Informal Patent Other:	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

Election/Restrictions

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of a spur toothed wheel in Paper No. 6, 1. filed 09 October 2002 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions of a spur toothed wheel and a form have common inventive features and form a general inventive concept. This is not found persuasive because PCT Rule 13.1 requires that "an application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept" and is considered fulfilled as set forth in PCT Rule 13.2 "only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." As such, the present application is directed to a spur toothed wheel having a first wheel disc and at least one second wheel disc and a form for producing said spur toothed wheel. The special technical feature of the spur toothed wheel is its structure and not the method of producing the spur toothed wheel. The special technical feature of the form is that it simultaneously shapes the teeth of all the wheel discs and not the particular structure of the spur toothed wheel. Thus, the spur toothed wheel and the form are not so linked by a "special technical feature" common to each invention to define a contribution over the prior art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 6-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6, filed 09 October 2002.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Drawings

3. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because Figure 1 show(s) modified forms of construction in the same view. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because "Figure 2" in line 12 of the abstract should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

 In line 17 of page 4, "2" first occurrence should be changed to --3--.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

a. It is not understood how the first or second disc carries teeth on a face as claimed in claim lines 1-2 and lines 4-5 of claim 1.

- b. It is not understood how one of the faces converges toward the boundary surface as claimed in line 7 of claim 1.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 10. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In line 3 of claim 1, it is unclear as to what the recitation of "it" refers.
 - b. The recitation of "the two faces" in line 7 of claim 1 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voigtlander et al.

Voigtlander et al. discloses in figure 3, a spur toothed wheel comprising a first gear wheel disc having teeth 11 and two second gear wheel discs having teeth 10,12.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the limitation as to spur toothed wheel being designed as a single piece and produced by injection molding does not further limit invention as claimed.

Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art regarding spur tooth gears in general:

US Patent No. 5,832,780 to Gallienne

US Patent No. 2,812,668 to Holt

US Patent No. 4,425,815 to Norton et al.

US Patent No. 2,098,864 to Forster

Page 5

US Patent No. 3,772,935 to Dunn et al.

US Patent No. 1,820,246 to Preis

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687 for regular communications and 703.305.7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

12/19/00

December 18, 2002